



# MID-STATES CORRIDOR

---

## TIER 1 FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT & RECORD OF DECISION

Prepared for  
Federal Highway Administration  
Indiana Department of Transportation

September 6, 2023

Prepared by  
Lochmuller Group, Inc.







# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## VOLUME I -FEIS

**EIS Summary ES-1**

ES 1 A Summary of the Statement .....	ES-3
ES 1.1 Purpose and Need .....	ES-4
ES 1.2 Process Overview .....	ES-6
ES 1.3 Preliminary Screening and Alternatives Carried Forward.....	ES-7
ES 1.3.1 Defining the Study Area.....	ES-7
ES 1.3.2 Public and Agency Outreach.....	ES-8
ES 1.3.3 Screening of Alternatives.....	ES-9
ES 1.4 Identification of DEIS Preferred Alternative .....	ES-11
ES 1.5 Commitments and Regulatory Actions Associated with the Project .....	ES-13
ES 1.5.1 Permits .....	ES-13
ES 1.5.2 Other Key Commitments .....	ES-14
ES 2 Issues Raised by Agencies and the Public Prior to the DEIS.....	ES-14
ES 3 Major DEIS Alternative Decisions.....	ES-15
ES 3.1 Decisions Impacting Consideration of Alternatives .....	ES-15
ES 3.2 Evaluation of Routes in Dubois County .....	ES-16
ES 3.3 Incorporation of Local Improvements.....	ES-16
ES 3.4 Alternatives Carried Forward for Detailed Study .....	ES-16
ES 3.4.1 Alternative B .....	ES-16
ES 3.4.2 Alternative C .....	ES-17
ES 3.4.3 Alternative M .....	ES-18
ES 3.4.4 Alternative O .....	ES-18
ES 3.4.5 Alternative P (DEIS Preferred Alternative) .....	ES-19
ES 4 DEIS Comments .....	ES-19
ES 4.1 Comment Period and Opportunities for Input .....	ES-19
ES 4.2 Key Themes of Comments on DEIS .....	ES-20
ES 5 Post DEIS Activities.....	ES-20
ES 5.1 Reconsideration of Preferred Alternative Alignment at Loogootee.....	ES-20
ES 5.2 Additional Amish Community Outreach .....	ES-21
ES 5.3 Reconsideration of Alternative R .....	ES-21
ES 6 Identification of FEIS Preferred Alternative .....	ES-21
ES 6.1 Alternative R .....	ES-21
ES 6.2 Refined Preferred Alternative P (RPA P).....	ES-21
ES 6.3 FEIS Preferred Alternative.....	ES-22
ES 7 Final EIS and ROD.....	ES-22
ES 8 Implementation .....	ES-24
ES 9 Glossary of Key Terms.....	ES-26



<b>1 Purpose and Need</b> .....	<b>1-1</b>
1.1 Statement of Purpose and Need.....	1-2
1.2 Policy Framework.....	1-3
1.2.1 Federal Transportation Planning Requirements .....	1-3
1.2.2 Blue Ribbon Panel on Transportation Infrastructure Report – June 2014.....	1-3
1.2.3 INDOT 2045 Long-Range Plan .....	1-3
1.3 Previous Studies.....	1-3
1.3.1 DEIS (2004) and Supplemental DEIS (SDEIS) (2011), US 231 Dubois County .....	1-4
1.3.2 I-67 Corridor Feasibility Study (2012) .....	1-4
1.3.3 Conexus Indiana Southwest Indiana Logistic Sector Plan (2015) .....	1-4
1.3.4 Mid-States Corridor White Paper (2017).....	1-4
1.3.5 US 231 Corridor Assessment (2018) .....	1-4
1.4 Needs Assessment .....	1-5
1.4.1 Transportation Needs .....	1-5
1.4.2 Economic Development Needs.....	1-7
1.5 Public and Agency Input.....	1-10
1.6 Project Goals and Performance Measures.....	1-11
1.6.1 Improve Business and Personal Regional Connectivity in Dubois County and Southern Indiana .....	1-11
1.6.2 Reduce Crashes at Key Locations in Southern Indiana .....	1-12
1.6.3 Support Economic Development in Southern Indiana .....	1-12
1.6.4 Improve Highway Connections to Existing Major Multi-Modal Locations from Southern Indiana .....	1-12
 <b>CHAPTER 2 - ALTERNATIVES</b> .....	 <b>2-1</b>
2.1 Process Overview .....	2-6
2.2 Scoping and Development Of Preliminary Alternatives.....	2-8
2.3 Screening of Preliminary Alternatives .....	2-15
2.3.1 Screening Approach .....	2-15
2.3.1.1 Fatal Flaw .....	2-15
2.3.1.2 Two-Phased Assessment .....	2-16
2.3.1.3 Consideration of Facility Types .....	2-17
2.3.2 Screening of Alternatives Findings.....	2-18
2.3.3 Public and Agency Input .....	2-20
2.4 Finalizing Alternatives Carried Forward For Detailed Study .....	2-21
2.4.1 Evaluation of Eastern and Western Routes in Dubois County .....	2-22
2.4.2 Consideration of Existing Highways for Alternatives Carried Forward .....	2-24
2.4.2.1 Evaluation of Existing Facility Upgrades for Alternatives.....	2-24
2.4.2.2 Consideration of Localized System Improvements .....	2-27
2.5 Transition to Detailed Analysis of Alternatives .....	2-33
2.5.1 Reconsideration of Alternative R.....	2-33
2.5.2 Alternative Variations at Loogootee .....	2-33
2.6 Detailed Performance and Cost Analysis of Alternatives.....	2-36
2.6.1 Alternative Performance Measures.....	2-36
2.6.1.1 Core Goal Performance Measures .....	2-37
2.6.1.2 Secondary Goal Performance Measures .....	2-42
2.6.1.3 Summary of Performance Measures.....	2-46



2.6.2 Alternative Costs.....	2-46
2.6.2.1 Construction Costs .....	2-46
2.6.2.2 Operating and Maintenance Costs .....	2-47
2.7 Tier 2 Sections .....	2-48
2.8 Preview of the Preferred Alternative.....	2-61
<b>CHAPTER 3 – ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES, IMPACTS, &amp; MITIGATION .....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3 Environmental Resources, Impacts & Mitigation.....	3-1-2
3.1 Environmental Resource Analysis Approach .....	3-1-2
3.1.1 Working Alignments Selected for Detailed Study.....	3-1-3
3.1.2 Calculation of Impacts .....	3-1-3
3.1.3 Consistency of Resource Reporting .....	3-1-4
3.2 Land Use Impacts.....	3-1-2
3.2.1 Introduction.....	3-1-2
3.2.2 Methodology .....	3-1-2
3.2.3 Analysis.....	3-1-3
3.2.3.1 Review of Land-use Plans .....	3-1-3
3.2.3.2 Comparison of Alternative Impacts .....	3-1-5
3.2.4 Mitigation .....	3-1-6
3.2.5 Summary.....	3-1-7
3.3 Social Impacts.....	3-3-2
3.3.1 Introduction and Background.....	3-3-2
3.3.2 Methodology .....	3-3-2
3.3.3 Analysis.....	3-3-3
3.3.3.1 Neighborhood and Community Cohesion .....	3-3-3
3.3.3.2 Religious and Social Communities .....	3-3-4
3.3.3.3 Travel Patterns and Accessibility .....	3-3-5
3.3.3.4 Organizations and Institutions.....	3-3-6
3.3.3.5 Recreational Facilities .....	3-3-7
3.3.3.6 Religious Institutions .....	3-3-8
3.3.3.7 Public Safety Facilities .....	3-3-9
3.3.3.8 Major Health Care Facilities .....	3-3-10
3.3.3.9 Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities .....	3-3-11
3.3.4 Mitigation .....	3-3-12
3.3.5 Summary.....	3-3-13
3.4 Economic Impacts.....	3-4-2
3.4.1 Introduction and Background.....	3-4-2
3.4.2 Methodology .....	3-4-2
3.4.2.1 Highway User Costs and Benefits .....	3-4-2
3.4.2.2 Local Property Tax Impacts .....	3-4-3
3.4.2.3 Local Property Value Impacts .....	3-4-3
3.4.2.4 Project Spending (Construction Costs) .....	3-4-3
3.4.3 Analysis.....	3-4-3
3.4.3.1 Highway User Costs and Benefits .....	3-4-3
3.4.3.2 Local Property Tax Impacts .....	3-4-5
3.4.4 Local Property Value Impacts .....	3-4-6
3.4.5 Project Spending.....	3-4-6



3.4.6 Loss of Timber Income .....	3.4-7
3.4.7 Mitigation .....	3.4-7
3.4.8 Traffic Diversion Impacts .....	3.4-8
3.4.9 Summary .....	3.4-8
3.5 Relocation Impacts .....	3.5-2
3.5.1 Introduction.....	3.5-2
3.5.2 Methodology .....	3.5-2
3.5.3 Analysis.....	3.5-4
3.5.3.1 Alternative B.....	3.5-5
3.5.3.2 Alternative C.....	3.5-5
3.5.3.3 Alternative M.....	3.5-5
3.5.3.4 Alternative O.....	3.5-5
3.5.3.5 Alternative P.....	3.5-6
3.5.3.6 Alternative R.....	3.5-6
3.5.3.7 Refined Preferred Alternative P.....	3.5-6
3.5.3.8 Local Improvements .....	3.5-7
3.5.3.9 Replacement Housing.....	3.5-7
3.5.4 Mitigation .....	3.5-8
3.5.5 Summary.....	3.5-8
3.6 Indirect and Cumulative Impacts.....	3.6-2
3.6.1 Introduction.....	3.6-2
3.6.2 Methodology .....	3.6-3
3.6.3 Analysis.....	3.6-4
3.6.3.1 Identify the significant cumulative effects issues associated with the Mid-States Corridor .....	3.6-4
3.6.3.2 Establish the geographic scope for the analysis .....	3.6-4
3.6.3.3 Establish the time frame for the analysis.....	3.6-4
3.6.3.4 Identify other actions affecting the resources, ecosystems and human communities of concern.....	3.6-4
3.6.3.5 Characterize the resources, ecosystems and human communities identified in scoping and explain how they have historically changed.....	3.6-5
3.6.3.6 Characterize the stresses affecting these resources, ecosystems and human communities and their relation to regulatory thresholds .....	3.6-6
3.6.3.7 Define a baseline condition for the resources, ecosystems and human communities .....	3.6-7
3.6.3.8 Identify the important cause and effect relationships between human activities and resources, impacts and human communities .....	3.6-8
3.6.3.9 Determine the magnitude and significance of cumulative effects by identifying the changes as a result of the Mid-States Corridor .....	3.6-9
3.6.3.10 Modify or add alternatives to avoid, minimize, or mitigate significant cumulative impacts .....	3.6-11
3.6.3.11 Monitor the cumulative effects of the alternatives and provide documentation .....	3.6-12
3.6.4 Summary .....	3.6-12
3.7 Traffic Impacts.....	3.7-2
3.7.1 Introduction .....	3.7-2
3.7.2 Methodology.....	3.7-2



3.7.3 Regional Impacts .....	3.7-3
3.7.4 Impacts on Major Corridors .....	3.7-4
3.7.4.1 Impacts to I-69 .....	3.7-6
3.7.4.2 Impacts to SR 37 (Between Bloomington and Bedford) .....	3.7-7
3.7.4.3 Impacts to US 231 .....	3.7-3
3.7.4.4 Impacts to I-64 .....	3.7-9
3.7.5 Impacts to Major Corridors Outside the Study Area .....	3.7-9
3.7.6 Summary .....	3.7-11
3.8 Title VI/Environmental Justice Impacts .....	3.8-2
3.8.1 Introduction .....	3.8-2
3.8.2 Methodology .....	3.8-3
3.8.3 Minority and Low-Income Populations .....	3.8-4
3.8.3.1 Methods .....	3.8-4
3.8.3.2 Determinations .....	3.8-5
3.8.4 Outreach .....	3.8-8
3.8.4.1 Outreach Approach .....	3.8-8
3.8.4.2 Phase 1 – Pre-Screening of Alternatives .....	3.8-9
3.8.4.3 Phase 2 – Post-Screening of Alternatives .....	3.8-9
3.8.5 Impacts .....	3.8-11
3.8.5.1 Relocations .....	3.8-11
3.8.5.2 Economics .....	3.8-12
3.8.5.3 Changes in Travel Patterns .....	3.8-12
3.8.5.4 Changes in Community Cohesion .....	3.8-12
3.8.5.5 Changes in Public and Private Community Services .....	3.8-12
3.8.5.6 Changes in Noise and Air Quality .....	3.8-13
3.8.6 Summary .....	3.8-13
3.9 Air Quality .....	3.9-2
3.9.1 Introduction .....	3.9-2
3.9.2 Focus of Analysis .....	3.9-2
3.9.3 Regulatory Setting and Methodology .....	3.9-2
3.9.4 Comparative Analysis of Alternatives .....	3.9-5
3.9.5 Summary and Conclusions .....	3.9-7
3.10 Noise Impacts .....	3.10-2
3.10.1 Introduction .....	3.10-2
3.10.2 INDOT Noise Procedures .....	3.10-2
3.10.3 Methodology .....	3.10-3
3.10.4 Analysis .....	3.10-6
3.10.5 Noise Effects on Wildlife .....	3.10-8
3.10.6 Mitigation .....	3.10-9
3.10.7 Summary .....	3.10-10
3.11 Wild and Scenic Rivers .....	3.11-2
3.11.1 Introduction .....	3.11-2
3.11.2 Methodology .....	3.11-2
3.11.3 Analysis .....	3.11-3
3.11.4 Mitigation .....	3.11-4
3.11.5 Summary .....	3.11-5



3.12 Construction Impacts .....	3.12-2
3.12.1 Introduction .....	3.12-2
3.12.2 Analysis.....	3.12-2
3.12.2.1 Noise .....	3.12-2
3.12.2.2 Air Pollution .....	3.12-2
3.12.2.3 Groundwater and Karst .....	3.12-3
3.12.2.4 Wetlands .....	3.12-4
3.12.2.5 Erosion Control .....	3.12-4
3.12.2.6 Traffic .....	3.12-4
3.12.2.7 Endangered Species.....	3.12-5
3.12.2.8 Visual Impacts .....	3.12-5
3.12.2.9 Greenhouse Gas Emissions .....	3.12-5
3.12.3 Mitigation .....	3.12-5
3.12.4 Summary .....	3.12-6
3.13 Cultural Resources Impacts .....	3.13-2
3.13.1 Introduction and Background.....	3.13-2
3.13.2 Methodology and Process .....	3.13-3
3.13.2.1 Standard Section 106 Review Process .....	3.13-3
3.13.2.2 Chronology of Tier 1 Section 106 Review for All Corridor Alternatives - Mid-States Corridor Project.....	3.13-5
3.13.2.3 Identification of Cultural Resources Impacted.....	3.13-6
3.13.3 Identification and Evaluation of Aboveground Resources .....	3.13-6
3.13.4 Identification and Evaluation of Archaeological Resources .....	3.13-6
3.13.5 Tabulation of Cultural Resources Impacted .....	3.13-6
3.13.6 Effect Determination Assumptions .....	3.13-7
3.13.7 Resolution of Adverse Effects .....	3.13-8
3.13.8 Tier 2 Studies .....	3.13-8
3.13.9 Summary .....	3.13-9
3.14 Visual Impacts .....	3.14-2
3.14.1 Introduction and Background .....	3.14-2
3.14.2 Methodology .....	3.14-2
3.14.3 Analysis.....	3.14-3
3.14.4 View of the Road .....	3.14-3
3.14.5 View from the Road.....	3.14-5
3.14.6 Lighting Effects On Wildlife.....	3.14-6
3.14.7 Mitigation .....	3.14-7
3.14.8 Summary .....	3.14-7
3.15 Properties of Environmental Concern .....	3.15-2
3.15.1 Introduction and Background.....	3.15-2
3.15.2 Methodology .....	3.15-2
3.15.2.1 Properties with Low Level of Concern .....	3.15-4
3.15.2.2 Properties with Medium Level of Concern .....	3.15-4
3.15.2.3 Properties with High Level of Concern .....	3.15-4
3.15.3 Analysis.....	3.15-4
3.15.3.1 Alternative B, Local Improvements 10, 11, and 12 .....	3.15-4
3.15.3.2 Alternative C, Local Improvements 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 .....	3.15-5
3.15.3.3 Alternative M, Local Improvements 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 13, and 14 .....	3.15-7





3.15.3.4 Alternative O, Local Improvements 1, 2, 3, 4, 15, 16, 17, 18 .....	3.15-9
3.15.3.5 Alternative P, Local Improvements 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 .....	3.15-11
3.15.3.6 RPA P, Local Improvements 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 .....	3.15-13
3.15.3.7 Alternative R .....	3.15-16
3.15.4 Mitigation .....	3.15-23
3.15.5 Summary .....	3.15-23
3.16 Threatened and Endangered Species .....	3.16-2
3.16.1 Introduction .....	3.16-2
3.16.1.1 Endangered Species Act of 1973.....	3.16-2
3.16.1.2 Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act of 1962 .....	3.16-3
3.16.1.3 Migratory Bird Treaty Act of 1918 .....	3.16-3
3.16.1.4 Indiana Nongame and Endangered Species Conservation Act of 1973.....	3.16-3
3.16.1.5 IDNR Division of Nature Preserves .....	3.16-3
3.16.2 U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service Consultation and Indiana Department of Natural Resources Coordination.....	3.16-4
3.16.3 Methodology .....	3.16-6
3.16.3.1 Proximity Analysis .....	3.16-7
3.16.3.2 Bat Habitat Assessment Analysis .....	3.16-7
3.16.4 Analysis.....	3.16-8
3.16.4.1 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Federally Listed, Candidate and Proposed Listing Species .....	3.16-10
3.16.4.2 Indiana Department of Natural Resources State Listed Species.....	3.16-21
3.16.5 Local Improvements .....	3.16-25
3.16.6 Mitigation .....	3.16-26
3.16.7 Summary .....	3.16-26
3.17 Floodplain Impacts .....	3.17-2
3.17.1 Introduction .....	3.17-2
3.17.2 Methodology and Process.....	3.17-3
3.17.3 Analysis .....	3.17-3
3.17.4 Mitigation.....	3.17-6
3.17.5 Summary .....	3.17-6
3.18 Wetland Impacts .....	3.18-2
3.18.1 Introduction .....	3.18-2
3.18.1.1 Mid-States Project Area High Quality Wetland Complexes.....	3.18-2
3.18.2 Methodology .....	3.18-3
3.18.3 Analysis.....	3.18-4
3.18.4 Mitigation .....	3.18-6
3.18.5 Summary .....	3.18-6
3.19 Stream Impacts .....	3.19-2
3.19.1 Introduction .....	3.19-2
3.19.2 Methodology .....	3.19-4
3.19.3 Analysis.....	3.19-5
3.19.3.1 Stream Impacts .....	3.19-5
3.19.3.2 Impaired Stream Impacts .....	3.19-6
3.19.4 Mitigation .....	3.19-8
3.19.5 Summary .....	3.19-8



3.20 Groundwater Impacts.....	3.20-2
3.20.1 Introduction .....	3.20-2
3.20.2 Methodology and Process .....	3.20-3
3.20.3 Analysis.....	3.20-3
3.20.4 Mitigation .....	3.20-5
3.20.5 Summary .....	3.20-6
3.21 Forest Impacts.....	3.21-2
3.21.1 Introduction .....	3.21-2
3.21.2 Timber .....	3.21-2
3.21.2.1 Recreation .....	3.21-2
3.21.2.2 Urban Forests .....	3.21-2
3.21.2.3 Soil and Water Quality.....	3.21-3
3.21.2.4 Habitat.....	3.21-3
3.21.2.5 Study Area Forests Descriptions .....	3.21-4
3.21.2.6 Fragmentation .....	3.21-5
3.21.3 Methodology .....	3.21-7
3.21.4 Analysis.....	3.21-8
3.21.5 Mitigation .....	3.21-10
3.21.6 Summary .....	3.21-10
3.22 Mineral Resource Impacts .....	3.22-2
3.22.1 Introduction.....	3.22-2
3.22.2 Methodology .....	3.22-2
3.22.3 Analysis .....	3.22-2
3.22.3.1 Coal.....	3.22-2
3.22.3.2 Oil and Gas.....	3.22-4
3.22.3.3 Clay Minerals .....	3.22-5
3.22.3.4 Sand and Gravel.....	3.22-6
3.22.3.5 Limestone .....	3.22-7
3.22.3.6 Gypsum .....	3.22-8
3.22.4 Mitigation .....	3.22-8
3.22.5 Summary.....	3.22-9
3.23 Karst Impacts .....	3.23-2
3.23.1 Introduction.....	3.23-2
3.23.2 Methodology .....	3.23-2
3.23.3 Analysis .....	3.23-2
3.23.3.1 Caves.....	3.23-3
3.23.3.2 Spring and Dye Traces.....	3.23-4
3.23.3.3 Sinkholes and Sinkhole Areas .....	3.23-4
3.23.3.4 Sinking-Stream Basins.....	3.23-5
3.23.4 Mitigation .....	3.23-6
3.23.5 Summary.....	3.23-6
3.24 Agricultural Impacts.....	3.24-2
3.24.1 Introduction.....	3.24-2
3.24.2 Methodology .....	3.24-3
3.24.3 Analysis .....	3.24-3
3.24.3.1 Alternative B.....	3.24-4
3.24.3.2 Alternative C .....	3.24-5



3.24.3.3 Alternative M.....	3.24-5
3.24.3.4 Alternative O.....	3.24-5
3.24.3.5 Alternative P.....	3.24-5
3.24.3.6 Alternative R.....	3.24-5
3.24.3.7 Refined Preferred Alternative P.....	3.24-5
3.24.4 Mitigation.....	3.24-5
3.24.5 Summary.....	3.24-6
3.25 Ecosystem Impacts.....	3.25-2
3.25.1 Introduction.....	3.25-2
3.25.2 Methodology.....	3.25-2
3.25.2.1 The Southern Bottomlands Natural Region.....	3.25-3
3.25.2.2 Shawnee Hills Natural Region.....	3.25-3
3.25.2.3 Highland Rim Natural Region.....	3.25-3
3.25.2.4 Southwestern Lowlands Natural Region.....	3.25-3
3.25.3 Analysis.....	3.25-5
3.25.4 Mitigation.....	3.25-5
3.25.5 Summary.....	3.25-7
3.26 Permits.....	3.26-2
3.26.1 USACE Section 404 and Section 10 Permits.....	3.26-2
3.26.2 IDEM Section 401 Water Quality Certification.....	3.26-3
3.26.3 IDEM Indiana Isolated Wetlands Permit.....	3.26-3
3.26.4 IDEM National Pollution Discharge Elimination System – Section 402 Permit.....	3.26-4
3.26.5 IDEM NPDES Construction Stormwater General Permit (CSGP).....	3.26-4
3.26.6 IDNR Construction in a Floodway.....	3.26-4
3.26.7 USCG Section 9 Bridge Permit.....	3.26-4
3.26.8 USEPA Class V Injection Well Permit.....	3.26-5
3.26.9 Local Permits and Ordinances.....	3.26-5
3.26.10 Summary.....	3.26-5
3.27 Managed Land Impacts.....	3.27-2
3.27.1 Introduction.....	3.27-2
3.27.2 Methodology.....	3.27-4
3.27.3 Analysis.....	3.27-4
3.27.3.1 Section 1.....	3.27-5
3.27.3.2 Section 2.....	3.27-5
3.27.3.3 Section 3.....	3.27-7
3.27.4 Mitigation.....	3.27-9
3.27.5 Summary.....	3.27-9
3.28 Energy Impacts.....	3.28-2
3.28.1 Introduction.....	3.28-2
3.28.2 Methodology.....	3.28-2
3.28.3 Analysis.....	3.28-2
3.28.4 Summary.....	3.28-3
3.29 Short-Term Uses Versus Long-Term Productivity.....	3.29-2
3.30 Irretrievable and Irreversible Resource Losses.....	3.30-2



<b>4 Section 4(f) &amp; 6(f) Resources .....</b>	<b>4-2</b>
4.1 Introduction and Proposed Action.....	4-2
4.2 Section 4(f) Resources – Parks, Recreation Areas and Wildlife or Waterfowl Refuges .....	4-3
4.2.1 Buffalo Pond Nature Preserve .....	4-5
4.2.2 Daviess-Martin County Park (West Boggs Park) & Trails.....	4-5
4.2.3 Glendale Fish and Wildlife Area.....	4-6
4.2.4 Hoosier National Forest.....	4-6
4.2.5 Indiana Forest Bank Fee (Gantz Woods Nature Preserve .....	4-6
4.2.6 Veale Creek Wildlife Management Area .....	4-7
4.2.7 Doans Creek Property - Martin State Forest .....	4-7
4.2.8 Huntingburg Trail System.....	4-7
4.2.9 Jasper Trail System .....	4-7
4.2.10 Dubois County Trail System.....	4-7
4.2.11 Loogootee Trail System - County Line Trail .....	4-8
4.2.12 Martin County 4-H Fairgrounds and Speedway.....	4-8
4.2.13 Martin State Forest.....	4-8
4.2.14 Milwaukee Road Trail .....	4-9
4.2.15 Sultan’s Run Golf Course .....	4-9
4.2.16 Wetland Reserve Program Properties .....	4-9
4.3 Section 4(f) Resources - Historic and Archaeological Resources.....	4-9
4.3.1 Historic Resources .....	4-10
4.3.2 Archaeological Resources .....	4-11
4.4 Section 6(f) Resources .....	4-12
4.5 Summary 4-12	
4.5.1 Parks, Recreation Areas, Wildlife or Waterfowl Refuges .....	4-12
4.5.2 Historic and Archaeological Sites.....	4-12
 <b>CHAPTER 5 COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES.....</b>	<b>5-2</b>
5 Comparison Of Alternatives .....	5-2
5.1 Summary of Alternatives .....	5-2
5.1.1 Alternative B.....	5-4
5.1.2 Alternative C .....	5-5
5.1.3 Alternative M.....	5-6
5.1.4 Alternative O .....	5-6
5.1.5 Alternative P .....	5-7
5.1.6 Refined Preferred Alternative P (Preferred Alternative .....	5-9
5.1.7 Alternative R .....	5-9
5.1.8 No-Build Alternative .....	5-10
5.2 Identification of the Preferred Alternative.....	5-10
 <b>CHAPTER 6 ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITMENTS .....</b>	<b>6-2</b>
6.1 Human Environment .....	6-2
6.1.1 Local Land Use Planning and Travel Patterns .....	6-2
6.1.2 Traffic (Short Term .....	6-2
6.1.3 Visual .....	6-3
6.1.4 Cultural Resources.....	6-3
6.1.5 Environmental Justice (EJ .....	6-3



6.1.6 Relocations .....	6-4
6.1.7 Agricultural .....	6-4
6.1.8 Minerals .....	6-4
6.1.9 Noise .....	6-4
6.1.10 Construction Related .....	6-4
6.1.11 Hazardous Materials .....	6-4
6.1.12 Context Sensitive Solutions .....	6-5
6.2 Natural Resources.....	6-5
6.2.1 Streams .....	6-5
6.2.2 Floodplains .....	6-5
6.2.3 Groundwater .....	6-6
6.2.4 Wetlands .....	6-6
6.2.5 Protected Species .....	6-6
6.2.6 Forests .....	6-7
6.2.7 Ecosystems.....	6-7
6.2.8 Karst Features.....	6-8
6.2.9 Managed Lands .....	6-8
6.3 Permits .....	6-9
6.4 Indirect and Cumulative Impacts.....	6-9
<b>CHAPTER 7 COMMENTS, AGENCY COORDINATION, &amp; PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT .....</b>	<b>7-2</b>
7.1 Introduction.....	8-2
7.2 Summary of Major Themes .....	8-3
7.2.1 Summary of Major Pre-DEIS Themes.....	8-3
7.2.2 Summary of Major DEIS Comment Themes.....	8-4
7.3 Public and Community Outreach.....	8-5
7.3.1 In-Person Outreach .....	8-5
7.3.2 Virtual Outreach.....	8-12
7.3.3 Project Office .....	8-14
7.3.4 Post DEIS Consultation with Martin County.....	8-14
7.4 Agency Review and Coordination.....	8-15
7.4.1 Agency Coordination Meetings.....	8-15
7.4.2 Agency Correspondence .....	8-18
<b>CHAPTER 8 LIST OF PREPARERS.....</b>	<b>8-2</b>
<b>CHAPTER 9 DISTRIBUTION OF EIS .....</b>	<b>9-2</b>
9.1 Federal Agencies .....	9-3
9.2 State Agencies .....	9-4
9.3 Local Agencies .....	9-4
9.4 Elected Officials.....	9-5
9.5 Native American Tribes .....	9-7
9.6 Stakeholders and Interested Parties .....	9-8
<b>CHAPTER 10 REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>10-2</b>
10.1 Publications .....	10-2
10.2 Land Use Plans.....	10-42



<b>CHAPTER 11 GLOSSARY &amp; ACRONYMS</b> .....	<b>11-2</b>
11.1 Glossary of Terms .....	11-2
11.2 Acronyms .....	11-16
11.2.1 Terms .....	11-16
11.2.2 Agencies and Organizations .....	11-21
<b>CHAPTER 12 INDEX</b> .....	<b>12-2</b>

## VOLUME I FIGURES

Figure ES-1: Project Study Area .....	ES-3
Figure ES-1a: Sections within Study Area .....	ES-8
Figure ES-2: Identification of the DEIS Preferred Alternative .....	ES-12
Figure ES-3: Identification of the FEIS Preferred Alternative .....	ES-23
Figure ES-4: Identification of RPA P SIUs .....	ES-25
Figure ES-5: Identification RPA P Local Improvements .....	ES-26
Figure 1-1: Mid-States Corridor Study Area .....	1-5
Figure 1-2: Cumulative Percentage Change in Population, 1970 – 2018 .....	1-7
Figure 1-3: Study Area Cumulative Net Migration by County (1990 – 2018) as Percentage of Population .....	1-8
Figure 2-1: Overview of the Environmental Documentation Process .....	2-7
Figure 2-2: Mid-States Corridor Sections and Families .....	2-9
Figure 2-3: Seven Route Combinations for Section 2 Study Bands .....	2-10
Figure 2-4: Nine Route Combinations for Section 3 Study Bands .....	2-11
Figure 2-5: Alternatives formed from Combinations .....	2-14
Figure 2-6: Transition from Study Bands to Working Alignments for Estimating Impacts .....	2-17
Figure 2-7: Section 2 Breaks .....	2-22
Figure 2-8: Comparison of Existing Highways in Relation to Alternatives .....	2-26
Figure 2-9: Local Improvement Elements .....	2-31
Figure 2-9a: Local Improvement Elements (RPA P) .....	2-32
Figure 2-10: Build Alternatives Carried Forward into Detailed Analysis .....	2-34
Figure 2-11: Proposed Sections of Independent Utility for Alternative B .....	2-49
Figure 2-12: Proposed Sections of Independent Utility for Alternative C .....	2-51
Figure 2-13: Proposed Sections of Independent Utility for Alternative M .....	2-53
Figure 2-14: Proposed Sections of Independent Utility for Alternative O .....	2-55
Figure 2-15: Proposed Sections of Independent Utility for Alternative P .....	2-57
Figure 2-16: Proposed Sections of Independent Utility for RPA P .....	2-59
Figure 2-17: Proposed Sections of Independent Utility for Alternative R .....	2-61
Figure 3.2-1: Mid-States Study Area with Alternatives Carried Forward .....	3.2-2
Figure 3.7-1: Mid-States Corridor TDM – Modeled Area .....	3.7-2
Figure 3.7-2: Shortest Travel Path between Two Selected Zones for No-Build Alternative .....	3.7-6
Figure 3.7-3: Shortest Travel Path between Two Selected Zones for Alternative P and RPA P Expressway Facility Type .....	3.7-7



Figure 3.7-4: Shortest Travel Path Between Loogootee and Jasper/Huntingburg for Alternatives P and RPA P, Expressway Facility Type ..... 3.7-7

Figure 3.8-1: Environmental Justice Block Groups Impacted ..... 3.8-7

Figure 3.8-2: Environmental Justice Outreach ..... 3.8-10

Figure 3.9-1: Air Quality “Maintenance Areas” within the 12-County Study Area ..... 3.9-4

Figure 3.11-1: Lost River at Orangeville Rise ..... 3.11-3

Figure 3.14-1: Alternative Sections ..... 3.14-2

Figure 3.14-2: Typical Rural Roadway ..... 3.14-3

Figure 3.14-3: Typical Terrain – Section 3 (Northwest Family) ..... 3.14-4

Figure 3.14-4: Typical Terrain – Section 3 (North Central Family (Alternatives R, P and RPA P)) ..... 3.14-4

Figure 3.14-5: Typical Terrain – Section 3 (Northeast Family) ..... 3.14-5

Figure 3.14-6: Potential Visual impacts — Section 3 (Northwest Family) ..... 3.14-5

Figure 3.14-7: Potential Visual impacts—Section 3 (North Central Family) ..... 3.14-6

Figure 3.14-8: Potential Visual impacts — Section 3 (Northeast Family) ..... 3.14-6

Figure 3.15-1: Properties of Concern Potentially Impacted ..... 3.15-21

Figure 3.16-1: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Indiana Department of Natural Resources Listed Species per PLSS Section ..... 3.16-9

Figure 3.16-2: Bald Eagle Territories and Young Fledged in Indiana – 1993-2010 ..... 3.16-15

Figure 3.17-1: Floodplain/Floodway Cross Section with Transverse Floodplain Encroachment ..... 3.17-2

Figure 3.17-2: Floodplain/Floodway with Longitudinal Floodplain Encroachment ..... 3.17-3

Figure 3.17-3: Streams and Floodplains Potentially Impacted ..... 3.17-5

Figure 3.18 1: Palustrine Emergent Wetland ..... 3.18-3

Figure 3.18 2: Palustrine Scrub/Shrub Wetland ..... 3.18-3

Figure 3.18 3: Palustrine Forested Wetland ..... 3.18-3

Figure 3.18 4: Palustrine Unconsolidated Bottom (Open Water) ..... 3.18-4

Figure 3.18 5: Palustrine Unconsolidated Shore ..... 3.18-4

Figure 3.18-6: Lacustrine Limnetic Unconsolidated Bottom ..... 3.18-4

Figure 3.19-1: Streams and Drainages in the Mid-States Corridor ..... 3.19-3

Figure 3.19-2: Watersheds with Approved TMDLs in the Study Area ..... 3.19-7

Figure 3.20-1: Potential Groundwater Impacts ..... 3.20-4

Figure 3.21-1: U.S. Forest Service, Forest Inventory Analysis (FIA) Survey Units in the Study Area ..... 3.21-3

Figure 3.21-2: Typical Forest in Mid-States Project Area ..... 3.21-4

Figure 3.21-3: Natural Region Sections and Forests in the Study Area ..... 3.21-4

Figure 3.21-4: Forest Fragmentation ..... 3.21-6

Figure 3.21-5: 2018 Forests in Indiana by Patch Size (IDNR, 2020) ..... 3.21-6





Figure 3.22-1: Coal Preparation Plant at an Underground Mine in Gibson County.....	3.22-3
Figure 3.22-2: Typical Oil Well and Pumping Unit in Posey County.....	3.22-5
Figure 3.22-3: Clay Mining Operation in Dubois County Northwest of Jasper.....	3.22-5
Figure 3.22-4: Sand and Gravel Operation in Southern Indiana.....	3.22-6
Figure 3.24-5: Limestone Quarry Operation at the Village of Georgia on SR 60.....	3.22-8
Figure 3.24-6: Gypsum Processing Plant and Mine East of Shoals, Martin County, north of US 50.....	3.22-8
Figure 3.23-1: Cave Density in Study Area.....	3.23-3
Figure 3.23-2: Karst Springs and Dye Tracings in Study Area.....	3.23-4
Figure 3.23-3: Orangeville Rise National Natural Landmark.....	3.23-4
Figure 3.23-4: Karst Sinkholes and Sinking Areas in Study Area.....	3.23-5
Figure 3.23-5: Wesley Chapel Gulf National Natural Landmark.....	3.23-6
Figure 3.23-6: Tolliver Swallow Hole.....	3.23-6
Figure 3.25-1: Natural Regions in Study Area.....	3.25-2
Figure 3.27-1: Managed Lands within the Study Area.....	3.27-3
Figure 4-1: Parks, Recreation Areas and Wildlife or Waterfowl Refuges Evaluated.....	4-4
Figure 5-1: Identification of the Preferred Alternative.....	5-11
Figure 7-1: Sample of Digital Dashboard.....	7-12
Figure 7-2: Image of Twitter Handle.....	7-13
Figure 7-3: Image of Facebook Page.....	7-13

## VOLUME I TABLES

Table ES-1: Summary of Benefits, Costs, and Impacts.....	ES-17
Table 1-1: Accessibility Analysis.....	1-6
Table 1-2: Listing of Study Area High-Crash Roads by County.....	1-6
Table 1-3: County Level Per-Capita Income Comparisons (in Constant Year 2017 Dollars).....	1-8
Table 1-4: Comparison of Core and Secondary Goals.....	1-11
Table 2-1: Alternatives formed from Combinations.....	2-15
Table 2-2: Working Alignment Buffer Widths for Facility Types.....	2-17
Table 2-3: Comparison of Section 2 Impacts Between Eastern and Western Routes.....	2-23
Table 2-4: Comparison of Eastern and Western Routes Purpose and Need Performance.....	2-24
Table 2-5: Local Improvement Elements.....	2-30
Table 2-6: Reduction in Travel Time for Major Business Markets.....	2-37
Table 2-7: Increase in Labor Force with 30-Minute Access to Key Study Area Employment Centers.....	2-38
Table 2-8: Annual Savings in Truck VHT.....	2-39
Table 2-9: Travel Time Reduction to Key Intermodal Centers.....	2-39
Table 2-10: Peak Period Congestion 2045 Forecast Year (Dubois County, all vehicle types).....	2-43
Table 2-11: Local Improvements Travel Time Savings 2045 Forecast Year (Dubois County, all vehicle types).....	2-44
Table 2-12: Annual Crash Savings for Local Improvements, 2045 Forecast Year.....	2-44





Table 2-13: Economic Performance Measures .....	2-45
Table 2-14: Construction Cost by Alternative .....	2-47
Table 2-14a: Annual Increased O & M Cost by Alternative .....	2-47
Table 2-15: Proposed Sections of Independent Utility for Alternative B.....	2-48
Table 2-16. Proposed Associated Local Improvements with Independent Utility for Alternative B.....	2-50
Table 2-17: Proposed Sections of Independent Utility for Alternative C .....	2-50
Table 2-18: Proposed Associated Local Improvements with Independent Utility for Alternative C .....	2-52
Table 2-19: Proposed Sections of Independent Utility for Alternative M .....	2-53
Table 2-20: Proposed Associated Local Improvements with Independent Utility for Alternative M .....	2-54
Table 2-21: Proposed Sections of Independent Utility for Alternative O .....	2-55
Table 2-22: Proposed Associated Local Improvements with Independent Utility for Alternative O.....	2-56
Table 2-23: Proposed Sections of Independent Utility for Alternative P .....	2-57
Table 2-24: Proposed Associated Local Improvements with Independent Utility for Alternative P .....	2-58
Table 2-25: Proposed Sections of Independent Utility for Alternative R .....	2-60
Table 3.2-1: Consistency of Mid-States Alternatives and Existing Land-use Plans .....	3.2-4
Table 3.2-2: Land-Use Impacts by Alternative .....	3.2-5
Table 3.4-1: Year 2045 Average Daily Vehicle Operating Costs .....	3.4-4
Table 3.4-2: Year 2045 Average Daily Travel Time Costs .....	3.4-4
Table 3.4-3: Year 2045 Annual Crash Costs.....	3.4-4
Table 3.4-4: Assessed Value of Right-Of-Way .....	3.4-5
Table 3.4-5: Estimated Annual Loss of Property Tax Revenue .....	3.4-5
Table 3.4-6: Mid-States Corridor Project Spending Cost Estimates .....	3.4-6
Table 3.4-7: Mid-States Corridor Forest Impacts .....	3.4-7
Table 3.5-1: Relocation Impacts .....	3.5-4
Table 3.5-2: Relocation Impacts Due to Loss of Access .....	3.5-4
Table 3.5-3: Available Housing by County (Source: Zillow.com) .....	3.5-7
Table 3.6-1: Cumulative Impacts by Alternative.....	3.6-13
Table 3.7-1: 2045 Study Area Total VMT .....	3.7-3
Table 3.7-2: Study Area Highways With Forecasted No-Build Congestion in Year 2045 .....	3.7-4
Table 3.7-3a: 2045 Forecast Year Daily Traffic (AADT) on Key Highways, Super-2 Facility Type.....	3.7-4
Table 3.7-3b: 2045 Forecast Year Daily Traffic (AADT) on Key Highways, Expressway Facility Type .....	3.7-5
Table 3.7-4a: 2045 Percent Change, 2045 Forecast Year Daily Traffic (AADT) on Key Highways, Super-2 Facility Type .....	3.7-5
Table 3.7-4b: 2045 Percent Change, 2045 Forecast Year Daily Traffic (AADT) on Key Highways, Expressway Facility Type .....	3.7-6
Table 3.7-5a: 2045 Forecasted Year Daily Volumes on US 231 for Super-2 Facility Type.....	3.7-8
Table 3.7-5b: 2045 Forecasted Year Daily Volumes on US 231 for Expressway Facility Type .....	3.7-9
Table 3.7-6: 2045 Forecast Year Daily Traffic for Other Major Roadways .....	3.7-10
Table 3.7-7: 2045 Percentage Change in 2045 Forecast Year Daily Traffic for Other Major Roadways.....	3.7-11
Table 3.8-1: Environmental Justice Impacts by Alternative.....	3.8-6
Table 3.8-2: Environmental Justice Relocations by Alternative .....	3.8-11

# Final Environmental Impact Statement



**MID-STATES  
CORRIDOR**

Table 3.9-1: National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for Criteria Pollutants .....	3.9-3
Table 3.9-2: 2045 Annual VMT for No-Build and Build Alternatives.....	3.9-5
Table 3.9-3: Annual Estimates of VOC and NOx for No-Build and Build Alternatives.....	3.9-5
Table 3.9-4: GHG Emission Rates from Different Fuel Types .....	3.9-6
Table 3.9-5: Annual Estimates of GHG for No-Build and Build Alternatives .....	3.9-6
Table 3.9-6: Discounted GHG Costs for Build Alternatives .....	3.9-7
Table 3.10-1: FWHA Noise Abatement Criteria.....	3.10-4
Table 3.10-2: Noise Impacts by Alternative.....	3.10-7
Table 3.11-1: Navigable Waterways Within Mid-States Study Area .....	3.11-4
Table 3.11-2: Navigable Waterways Impacted Within Mid-States Study Area.....	3.11-5
Table 3.13-1 Aboveground Cultural Resource Impacts .....	3.13-7
Table 3.15-1: Agency Database and Program.....	3.15-3
Table 3.15-2: Potential Impacts to Properties of Concern.....	3.15-22
Table 3.16-1: Chronology Summary of Endangered Species Act Section 7 Consultation and IDNR Endangered Species Coordination.....	3.16-5
Table 3.16-2: Alternative Proximity Analysis Summary for Federally Listed Species.....	3.16-10
Table 3.16-3: Indiana Bat Maternity and Hibernacula Forest Habitat Assessment .....	3.16-12
Table 3.16-4: Northern Long-Eared Bat Maternity and Hibernacula Forest Habitat Assessment .....	3.16-13
Table 3.16-5: Documented Bald Eagle Nests Within 1 Mile of Alternative Working Alignments.....	3.16-16
Table 3.16-6: Alternative Proximity Analysis Summary for State Listed Species .....	3.16-22
Table 3.17-1: Potential Floodplain and Floodway Impacts.....	3.17-4
Table 3.18-1: Wetland Impacts .....	3.18-5
Table 3.19-1: Range of Stream Impacts by Type and Alternative.....	3.19-5
Table 3.19-2: Range of Impacts to Impaired Streams.....	3.19-6
Table 3.20-1: Potential Groundwater Impacts .....	3.20-5
Table 3.21-1: Forest Impacts.....	3.21-8
Table 3.21-2: Core Forest Impacts.....	3.21-9
Table 3.22-1: Impacts to Coal Mine Areas (Past and Present).....	3.22-3
Table 3.22-2: Impacts to Oil and Gas Wells and Fields .....	3.22-4
Table 3.22-3: Impacts to Clay Mineral Resources .....	3.22-6
Table 3.22-4: Impacts to Potential Economic Concentrations of Sand and Gravel .....	3.22-7
Table 3.23-1: Karst Resource Impacts by Alternative .....	3.23-3
Table 3.24-1: Impacts to Agricultural Land.....	3.24-3
Table 3.24-2: Impacts to Agricultural Production and Income .....	3.24-4



Table 3.25-1: Acres of Impacts by Natural Region.....	3.25-4
Table 3.25-2: Linear Miles of Impacts by Natural Region.....	3.25-4
Table 3.25-3: Habitat Fragmentation Potential.....	3.25-4
Table 3.27-1: Summary of Impacts to Managed Lands .....	3.27-4
Table 3.28-1: TREDIS Fuel Consumption Rates.....	3.28-2
Table 3.28-2: Motor Fuel to Btu Conversion Factors .....	3.28-2
Table 3.28-3: Changes in VMT, Fuel Consumption and Btu Usage.....	3.28-3
Table 3.28-4: Percent Changes in VMT, Fuel Consumption and Btu Usage .....	3.28-3
Table 4-1: Parks, Recreation Areas and Wildlife or Waterfowl Refuges Evaluation.....	4-5
Table 4-2: Listed and Potentially Eligible Individual Historic Properties within 2,000 Feet .....	4-10
Table 4-3: Archaeological Sites Directly Impacted by Right of Way.....	4-11
Table 5-1: Comparison of Alternatives .....	5-4
Table 5-2: Core Goal Performance Index .....	5-4
Table 5-3: Potential Impact Differences of Key Resources, Loogootee Variations .....	5-8
Table 7-1: Mid-States Participating and Cooperating Agencies.....	7-16

## VOLUME II - APPENDICES

Appendix A	Transportation Performance Measures Analysis
Appendix B	Economic Performance Measures and Methods
Appendix C	Preliminary Alternatives Development
Appendix D	Screening of Alternatives Report
Appendix E	Cost Estimating
Appendix F	Cumulative Impacts Baseline Trends
Appendix G	Cumulative Impacts Analysis Technical Report
Appendix H	Forest Impact Analysis
Appendix I	Threatened and Endangered Species Impacts
Appendix J	Floodplain Impact Analysis
Appendix K	Wetland Impacts
Appendix L	Stream Impact Analysis
Appendix M	Groundwater Impact Analysis
Appendix N	Archaeological Analysis and Archaeology Analysis Addendum
Appendix O	Historic Properties Analysis
Appendix P	Section 106 Documentation and Programmatic Agreement
Appendix Q	Direct and Indirect Impacts Analysis
Appendix R	Section 303(d) Impact Analysis
Appendix S	Properties of Environmental Concern
Appendix T	Travel Forecasting Model Documentation
Appendix U	Land Use Plan Review
Appendix V	Local Improvements Analysis
Appendix W	Environmental Justice Analysis
Appendix X	Geographic Information System Technical Documentation



Appendix Y	Karst Impact Analysis
Appendix Z	Agency Meetings and Correspondence
Appendix AA	Stakeholder Meetings and Correspondence
Appendix BB	Public Meetings and Correspondence
Appendix CC	Purpose and Need
Appendix DD	Social Impact Analysis
Appendix EE	Economic Impacts
Appendix FF	Agricultural Impacts
Appendix GG	Managed Lands Impact Analysis
Appendix HH	Ecosystem Impacts
Appendix II	Media Outreach
Appendix JJ	Noise Impacts
Appendix KK	Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Analysis
Appendix LL	Mineral Resources
Appendix MM	Wild and Scenic Rivers
Appendix NN	Post-DEIS Loogootee Outreach
Appendix OO	Amish Outreach
Appendix PP	Tier 1 Biological Assessment (Redacted Version)
Appendix QQ	Tier 1 Biological Opinion
Appendix RR	Greenhouse Gas Analysis
Appendix SS	Air Quality Conformity Determination

## VOLUME III – ENVIRONMENTAL ALTAS

Mid-States Corridor Study Area
Alternative B
Alternative C
Alternative M
Alternative O
Alternative P
Refined Preferred Alternative P (RPA P)
Alternative R

## VOLUME IV – RESPONSES TO COMMENTS ON DEIS

Part 1	Introduction
Part 2	Standard Responses to Comments
Part 3	Individual Responses
Part 4	Standard Responses